

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/632,379	08/01/2003	Fu-Liang Yang	67,200-377	4157
7:	590 03/20/2006		EXAMINER	
TUNG & ASS	SOCIATES	FARAHANI, DANA		
Suite 120 838 W. Long L	ake Road		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Bloomfield Hills, MI 48302			2891	
			DATE MAILED: 03/20/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/632,379	YANG, FU-LIANG				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Dana Farahani	2891				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet	vith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO atute, cause the application to become	ICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0	4 January 2006.					
, <u> </u>	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withe 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	drawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the constant of the const	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abey trection is required if the drawing	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docum application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/1/03.) Paper N	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

Art Unit: 2891

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 6-10, 13-15 and 18 recite the limitation "said corrugated surface". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in those claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1, 2, 4-6, 8, 9, and 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hayashi et al., hereinafter Hayashi (US Patent 5,365,078), newly cited.

Regarding claims 1, 4-6, 8, and 11-13, Hayashi discloses in figure 3, a field effect transistor (FET) device comprising a gate electrode 26 formed over the substrate 21 and channel 22; and a pair of source/drain regions. An interface 23 of the channel region 22 covered by the gate electrode or/and an upper surface of the gate electrode is corrugated with rounded valley bottom portions, as can be seen in the figure.

Regarding claims 2 and 9, the (FET) device is selected from the group consisting of metal oxide semiconductor field effect transistor (MOSFET) devices and metal semiconductor field effect transistor (MESFET) devices.

Application/Control Number: 10/632,379 Page 3

Art Unit: 2891

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 3, 7, 10, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hayashi.

Hayashi substantially discloses the claimed invention, as discussed above, except for the longitude peak and the depth of the curved channel region. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to adjust the height of the bumps on the gate electrode to make the transistor with suitable sizes for various applications. See *In re Woodruff, 919 F.2d 1575, 1578, 16 USPQ2d 1934, 1936 (Fed. Cir. 1990)* for the proposition that that when the specification contains no disclosure of either the critical nature of the claimed dimensions of any unexpected results arising therefrom, the Applicant must show that the chosen dimensions are critical.

7. Claims 15-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Semple et al., hereinafter Semple (US Patent 6,677,202) in view of Hayashi.

Semple discloses in figure 2A, a silicon substrate 101;

a gate electrode 207; and

a pair of source and drain regions 104 separated by the channel region, wherein an interface of the channel region covered by the gate electrode is corrugated.

Application/Control Number: 10/632,379

Art Unit: 2891

Semple does not disclose the corrugation has rounded valley bottom portions.

Hayashi discloses this limitation, as discussed above. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to make the bottom portions of the corrugated interface of the structure of the Semple reference rounded to further increase the area of the channel.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to the previously rejected claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

9. The prior art cited but not relied upon in the rejections, is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

See Divincenzo et al. (US Patent 5,023,671), for showing that making rounded bottom corrugations is feasible in a silicon contained semiconductor surface (see fig. 8, and the description in column 6, lines 45-55).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dana Farahani whose telephone number is (571)272-1706. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00AM - 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bill Baumeister can be reached on (571)272-1722. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/632,379 Page 5

Art Unit: 2891

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

D. Farahani

B. WILLIAM BAUMEISTER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER AS